MICHIGAN

TURFGRASS RESEARCH

REPORT

1975

Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Department of Botany and Plant Pathology Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station

Michigan State University

East Lansing, Michigan 48824

Table la Bluegrass Cultivar Evaluations I Michigan State University (NRT) East Lansing 1969-75 Area F-4

	Spring Greenup	Leafspot	Snowmold	App	earance
Cultivar	1969,72	1969,71,72	% infected 4/8/73	1975 (6)	Wtd. Avg. 1969-75 (87)
NJE P-56	2.3	1.0	7	1.5	1.5
NJE P-114	2.1	3.2	5	1.7	2.1
Sodco	2.7	2.4	15	1.9	2.1
Nugget	3.9	1.7	5	3.4	2.3
Belturf	2.7	3.6	95	3.2	2.5
WK 412 (Weibull's)	2.1	2.4	23	1.9	2.5
A-34 (Warren 13)	3.5	2.7	53	1.7	2.5
Merion	2.5	2.6	15	2.3	2-6-
Sydsport	2.7	2.8	78	2.9	2.7
Ba 6124 (Scott's)	2.3	4.0	42	2.8	3.0
WK-411 (Weibull's)	1.9	2.0	45	2.4	3.0
Pennstar	3.0	2.7	90	2.8	3.3
Fylking	3.0	3.3	75	4.7	3.6
K-162 (PSU)	3.5	3.7	43	4.0	3.6
PPI (R.I.)	2.2	2.0	10	5.6	3.7
K-107 (PSU)	3.5	2.4	75	5.3	3.9
Campus	5.1	3.7	100	6.6	4.2
S-21 (Jacklin)	5.8	5.7	72	4.7	4.9

(Plots of following cultivars viable only through 1974)

Cultivar	Spring Greenup 1969,72 (6)	Leafspot 1969,71,72 (9)	Snowmold % infected 4/8/73	1974 Ave. (6)	Wtd. Ave. 1969-74 (81)
Morros		2.0	60	F 0	0.5
Newport	4.0	3.8	62	5.0	3.5
Primo	4.2	3.7	88	5.7	3.7
Cougar	5.1	4.0	87	5.5	3.9
Zwartberg	3.1	3.0	50	5.9	4.2
Arista	4.8	3.5	100	5.7	4.2
Windsor	3.5	4.4	40	5.2	4.2
Prato	5.6	3.7	97	5.4	4.3
Kenblue	4.2	5.1	83	3.9	4.4
Geary	4.5	5.7	87	5.2	4.6
Park	5.5	5.7	63	5.7	4.8
WK-408 (Weibull's)	5.4	5.3	77	5.5	5.0
Minn-6	4.7	5.1	82	4.9	5.1
Delta	5.0	5.3	27	5.5	5.2
Palouse	5.2	5.6	52	6.4	5.3
South Dakota Cert.	4.8	5.8	47	5.5	6.1

^{1 - () =} No. of observations in average

 $^{2 - \}frac{1}{4} = \text{Best}$ 9 = Poorest

Table 2a.Bluegrass Cultivar Evaluations III
Michigan State University
East Lansing
1968-1975

Area G3-b

	Fall Seedling Vigor	Seedling Appearance	Percent Cover	Spring Green u	Spring Green up			Appe	arance
Cultivar	(ht in inches)	Oct. 1968	4/24/69	1969	1972			1975	Wtd. Ave
	10/21/68	(6)	(3)	(3)	(3)			(3)	(66)
NJE P-108 FS 170	1.2	2.8	95	2.0	3.0	1.0	27%	2.0	2.1
P-101 FS	1.5	3.4	98	1.0	2.0	1.0	G	1.0	2.3
	1.3	3.7	90	1.0	3.0	1.0	20	1.3	2.4
	1.5	4.3	87	2.3	ω ω	1.0	S	2.0	2.4
NJE P-74 FS 313	1.0	5.4	77	1.3	1.7	2.0	7	1.3	2.4
NJE P-111 FG 480	1.0	5.0	90	1.3	3.0	1.0	30	1.7	2.5
NJE P-57 FS 48	1.3	4.7	92	3.0	5.0	2.0	90	3.0	2.8
NJE P-72 FS 303	· 00	5.7	73	ب س س	3.0	1.0	U	1.3	2.8
FC-39071 (B 117-26-6)	.7	4.9	83	3.7	5.7	1.7	40	1.3	2.9
NJE P-44	1.0	4.8	83	1.3	3.7	1.7	20	2.3	3.0
NJE P-107-135	1.5	3.7	95	3.0	1.3	2.0	co	2.0	3.1

^{1 - () =} No. of observations in average.

^{2 - 1 =} Best 9 = Poorest

Table 3a. KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS VARIETY TEST-ORGANIC SOIL
Established 1975
North Test

Visit Dist

Variety	Establishment Vigor*
Newport	1.3
Delta	1.7
Park	2.0
Delft	2.0
Kimono	2.7
Mosa	3.0
Glade	3.0
Galaxy	4.0
Tivoli	4.0
Olymprisp	4.3
Gardi	4.7
Orna	5.0
Birka	5.0
Fylking	5.3
Touchdown	5.3
Baron	5.3
Sydsport	5.7
Bonnieblue	5.7
Nugget	6.0
Merion	6.0
Cheri	6.0
Plush	6.0
Majestic	6.3
A-20	7.0
A-34	7.3
Adelphi	8.0
A-20b	8.0

* 1 = vigorous 9 = non vigorous

Plots seeded 9-23-75, MSU Muck Farm 5' x 7' - 3 replications

- NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

Table 4a.

Established 1975 South Test

Variety	Establishment Vigor*
7	2.7
Ram I	
Victa	3.0
EVB 3702	3.0
Evtensa (EVB 216)	3.3
Evtopper (EVB 307)	3.3
EVB 2481	3.3
EVB 2461	3.7
Aquila	3.7
EVB 5585	4.0
EVB 2453	4.0
WWAg 452	4.0
INVAg 436	4.3
Campina	4.7
N-1214	4.7
Parade	4.7
Bristol	5.0
Vantage	5.0
P-66	5.0
KI-155	6.0
RI 143	6.0
WWAg 401	6.0
P-141	6.3
Brunswick (P-57)	6.7
NJEP-56	6.7
Barratan	7.0
Pennstar	7.0
Merion	7.0
Windsor	8.0

^{*}Establishment Vigor (1 = vigorous; 9 = non vigorous).

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

+ + + + + +

Table 5a. KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS BLENDS EVALUATION IV Michigan State University East Lansing 1975 Area E-2

		1	Appearance			Appearance
%	Blend		1975	%	Blend	1975
.00	A - 6816	1885	(3)			(3)
		Yelli	TEN.			
25	Baron			25	Fylking	
25	Merion			25	Merion	
25	Sodco			25	Nugget	
25	Sydsport		2.0	25	Pennstar	3.0
33	Baron			33	Merion	
33	Nugget			33	Nugget	
33	Park		2.3	33	Sodco	3.3
33	Baron			33	Merion	
33	Fylking			33	Nugget	
33	Sodco		2.7	33	Park	3.3
				7		
25	Fylking			25	Baron	
25	Merion		the second	25	Park	
25	Nugget			25	Pennstar	
25	Park		2.7	25		3.3
25	Baron			33	Merion	
25	Pennstar			33	Sodco	
25	Sodco			33	Sydsport	3.7
25	Sydsport		2.7		Sec. Window of Married Sec. 1980.	
				33	Baron	
33	Merion			33	Sodco	
33	Nugget			33	Sydsport	3.7
33	Sydsport		3.0		-	
				33	Fylking	
33	Pennstar			33	Fark	
33	Sodco			33	Pennstar	4.3
33	Sydsport		3.0	5.70		
				33	Fylking	
33	Baron			33	Merion	
33	Pennstar			33	Nugget	4.7
33	Sodco		3.0			7.17
				33	Fylking	
25	Fylking			33	Nugget	
2.5	Nugget			33	Park	4.7
25	Park			33	- 444	7.1
2 100			1727 1727			

Seeded September 1971

25 Sydsport 3.0

^{* 1 =} Excellent 9 = Poor

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -A-5

Table 6'a.Fairway Bluegrass Cultivar Evaluations Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 1974-1975 Area C-1

(B

			Appearance	e
Cultivar		1974	1975	Wtd. Ave.
		 (3)	(3)	(6)
Nugget		1.0	1.0	1.0
Baron		1.7	1.7	1.7
Sydsport		2.0	2.7	2.4
Prato		3.0	2.0	2.5
Pennstar		2.3	3.0	2.7
Merion		2.7	2.7	2.7
Sodco		2.3	3.7	3.0
Fylking		2.3	4.0	3.2
NJE P-69	(Adelphi)	3.3	3.0	3.2
Windsor	dayt	4.7	4.3	4.5

Mowed .5 inch, 3 times per week.

Established September, 1971.

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

Table 7a. Experimental Kentucky Bluegrass Evaluations
Michigan State University

350.0

East Lansing 1971-1975 Area F5-a

		Percent Snowmold	Appear	rance
		Infected	A 1 1	Wtd. Ave.
Cult	tivar	4/8/73	1975	1971-75
		(3)	(3)	(12)
EVB	305	37%	1.0	2.0
EVB	307	18	3.0	2.2
EVB	391	67	2.5	2.6
EVB	202	5	3.0	2.8

(Plots of following cultivars viable only through 1974)

	Percent Snowmold	Appea	rance
Cultivar	Infected 4/8/73 (3)	1974 (3)	Wtd. Ave. 1971-74 (9)
EVB 195	95	5.7	4.2
EVB 154	90	6.7	4.7
KO 173	95	8.3	5.3
EVB 118	93	9.0	6.1
KO 172	100	8.0	6.9

^{1 - () =} No. of observations in average

^{2 - 1 =} Best 9 = Poorest

Table 8a.

Fescue-Bluegrass-Ryegrass Mixtures Evaluations Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 1975 Area F-2b

			Appearance	
%	Mixture	1974 (6)	1975 (3)	Wtd. Avg.
50 50	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass MSU Meadow Fescue	1.7	1.3	1.6
		27.0	35	E TYD
50	Dawson Chewings Fescue Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	1.5	3.0	2.0
50	Pennfine Ryegrass	2 2		1971
50	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	2.2	2.3	2.2
50	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	frequents a dra	101	
50	Manhattan Ryegrass	2.4	2.0	2.3
	Jamestown Chewings Fescue	2.7		
20	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	2.7	1.7	2.4
	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	0.7	2.0	2.5
50	Wintergreen Chewings Fescue	2.7	2.0	2.3
	C-26 Hard Fescue	2.9	2.0	2.6
50	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	2.9	2.0	2.6
	Pennlawn Red Fescue Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	3.0	2.0	2.7
33	Manhattan Ryegrass	3.0	2.0	2.1
	Highlight Chewings Fescue	3.4	2.0	2.0
20	Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	3.4	2.0	2.9
	Norlea Ryegrass Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	3.4	2.3	3.0
		3.4	2.3	3.0
	Pennlawn Red Fescue Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	3.7	3.0	3.5
		3.1	3.0	3.3
	Alta Tall Fescue Merion Kentucky Bluegrass	4.2	4.3	4.2
20	merion kentucky binegrass	4.2	4.3	4.2

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

Table 9a. FINE LEAFED FESCUE CULTIVAR EVALUATIONS - II

Michigan State University

East Lansing, 1968-75

Area E-4

	Leafspot	Rating	Appe	arance
Cultivar	1969	1974	1975 (3)	Wtd. Ave. (78)
Dawson	1.3	3.3	2.3	2.3
Oregon K	2.3	6.3	2.0	2.5
MSU-63-FR	2.3	5.0	2.3	2.7
Brabantia	2.3	6.5	3.0	2.8
Polar	2.3	5.7	4.0	3.0
Oregon D	2.0	7.0	2.0	3.2
12-65	2.3	5.3	2.7	3.6
Reptans	3.3	5.7	3.3	3.7
MSU-13-FE	4.0	4.5	2.5	3.7
Rainier	4.0	6.5	3.5	4.7

Established 1973 Area E-4

	Leafspot Rating		Appe	arance
Cultivar	1974 (3)	6%	1975	Wtd. Ave. (15)
Erika	3.5		2.5	1.9
50% Jamestown	*		2.5	***
50% Wintergreen	6.0		2.0	2.2
Arctred	2.0		4.5	2.3
Highlight	7.0		1.3	2.8
Golfrood	4.0		5.0	3.0
Barfalla	5.7		2.3	3.1
0asis	4.0		2.5	3.2
50% Jamestown	3 3			
50% Pennlawn	7.3		3.0	3.5
C-26	4.5		4.0	3.7
Tjelvar	4.3		4.7	4.0

^{1.} 1 = Best 9 = Poorest

4 4

- NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

^{2. () =} Number of Observations in Average

FINE LEAFED FESCUE CULTIVAR EVALUATIONS-III (NRT) Michigan State University East Lansing 1973-75 Area E-3

214 1717	ALLEY	Leafspot	Appear	
Cultivar	1,70	1974	1975	Wtd. Ave.
	(-)	(3)	(6)	(18)
Dawson		2.0	2.5	1.9
Scarlet (HF-9)		4.3	1.0	1.9
S-59		3.3	1.7	2.4
Ru 45-C		4.3	2.7	2.5
Menuet (Fallox)		3.7	1.4	2.5
				The state of the s
ERb 11		4.3	3.0	2.7
Oregon K		3.3	2.4	2.7
Oregon D		5.0	3.4	2.7
Wintergreen		5.0	3.2	2.9
Jamestown		4.7	3.5	3.0
		7.0	3.3	3.0
Highlight		5.0	3.2	3.0
Horritine		4.7	3.0	3.0
Koket		6.3	2.5	3.2
Waldorf	10.00	5.0	4.7	3.4
Encota		6.0	3.5	3.7
		0.0	3.3	3.7
Polar		5.7	4.2	3.7
C-26		3.3	4.9	3.8
Boreal Boreal		3.7	4.4	3.8
Barfalla		6.0	4.3	4.1
Flavo		6.7	4.9	4.5
the beat				4.5
Duraturf		5.0	5.7	4.6
Scaldis		6.0	7.4	4.7
Roda		5.0	5.9	4.8
MLM 1512		4.7	6.0	5.0
Pennlawn		5.3	5.0	5.0
		0.0	3.0	3.0
ILM 15001		7.7	8.0	5.3
Cebeco IIZ 71-4	11.631	6.0	8.0	6.3

Seeded 1972

Table 11a. FINE LEAFED FESCUE MIXTURE EVALUATIONS Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 1975

Area F-2a

Blend	4 5 5 5
DICHO	1975
	(3)
Jamestown Chewings Fescue	
	1.3
Dawson Chewings rescue	1.3
Highlight Chewings Fescue	. #P. 1911
Wintergreen Chewings Fescue	
Pennlawn Red Fescue	
	1.7
C-26 Hard Fescue	
그 사람이 있다면 그렇게 하는 사람들이 그렇지만 하나 이 그 그 그렇게 하는 그리고 있다.	
	2.0
C-26 Hard Fescue	
Jamestown Chewings Fescue	
Pennlawn Red Fescue	
Dawson Chewings Fescue	2.0
C-26 Hard Faceure	
	2.2
renniawn ked rescue	2.3
C-26 Hard Fescue	
	2.3
	And the state of t
Jamestown Chewings Fescue	
Pennlawn Red Fescue	2.7
T	
Wintergreen Chewings Fescue	2.7
Jamestown Chewings Fescue	
	2.7
	C-26 Hard Fescue Jamestown Chewings Fescue Highlight Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue C-26 Hard Fescue Jamestown Chewings Fescue Pennlawn Red Fescue Dawson Chewings Fescue C-26 Hard Fescue Jamestown Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue Pennlawn Red Fescue C-26 Hard Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue Pennlawn Red Fescue Jamestown Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue Pennlawn Red Fescue Untergreen Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue Wintergreen Chewings Fescue

*Establishment Vigor (1 = Excellent 9 = Poor)

Table 12 a. NORTHERN MICHIGAN RED FESCUE CULTIVAR EVALUATIONS
Michigan State University
Traverse City - 1970 - 1975

Appearance* Cultivar 1975 Wtd. Ave. (3) (27) Menuet (Fallax) 1.7 1.8 Oregon K 2.3 2.5 Dawson 1.0 2.7 Barfella Chewings 3.0 2.8 C-26 (Biljart) 4.3 2.9 Arctared 3.7 2.9 Brabantia 2.3 2.9 Sceempter 4.0 3.0 Sceempter Chewings 3.3 3.0 BL-127 Chewings 4.0 3.1 Pennlawn 4.7 3.2 Tjelvar 3.3 3.2 Oregon D 2.3 3.2 Boreal 4.3 3.3 Oasis. 2.3 3.3 S.-59 2.0 3.3 Illahee 4.7 3.4 Golfrood 4.3 3.4 N2-65 (Ruby) 3.0 3.4 Jamestown 3.0 3.4 Ranier 3.7 3.4 Wintergreen 2.0 3.4 Reptans 4.3 3.7 Duraturf 3.7 3.7 S.-59 3.0 3.7 Bargena 3.3 3.7 Highlight 4.0 3.8 Erika 3.7 3.8 Steinacher* 3.7 4.0 Rubin 5.3 4.1 Echo 5.0 4.1 Ruby 5.7 4.2 Common Chewings 4.7 4.3 Cascade Chewings 5.3 4.4 01ds 6.3 5.0 Rapid (Nr-42-8) 5.3 5.0 Cottage 6.7 6.3

^{1.} 1 = Best 9 = Poorest

^{2. () =} Number of observations in average

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

Table 13a.

100

FINE LEAFED FESCUE CULTIVAR EVALUATION III Michigan State University

East Lansing Area E5-b 1968-1975

	Seedling	Percent	Leafspot	Appe	earanc	e
Cultivar	Appearance Fall, 1968 (6)*	Cover 5/12/69 (3)	Rating 1969 (3)	1975	V	(69)
K8-149 (Hau	ifax) 2 7	78%	2.0	1.3		1.8
K8-151 (At)		87	2.7	1.0		2.2
K8-148	3.5	60	1.7	1.3		2.4
K8-152	4.5	62	3.3	4.7		3.6
Pennlawn	2.2	75	3.0	4.0		3.7
K8-147	6.0	7	4.0	2.0		4.0

(Plots of following cultivars viable only through 1974)

is/ittu	5 F.2		# # *	Wtd. Ave. 1968-1974 (66)
Elco	4.0	60	2.7	5.2
Bargena	5.5	35	2.3	5.8
NFG	3.4	78	3.7	5.8
Bergere	4.0	57	3.7	5.8
Turf	5.0	29	3.7	6.0

^{* () =} Number of observations in each average figure.

Table 14a.

EXPERIMENTAL FINE LEAFED FESCUE EVALUATION

Michigan State University
East Lansing
1973-75
Area F5C

	Appe	arance
Selection	1975	Wtd. Ave.
	(3)	(12)
C-26	2.3	2.2
Jamestown	2.3	
Ambaise	2.7	
Wintergreen	2.7	
E 8-2	4.0	3.1
Pennlawn	2.7	3.2
Veddome	4.0	3.3
E 7-3	3.7	3.3
West 5F	3.0	3.3
E 5-5	3.3	3.4
West 7F	3.0	3.8
E 1-5	3.0	3.8
E 7-5	4.3	4.2
E 1-3	3.7	4.5
E 2-1	3.7	4.7

Table 15a. KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS CULTIVAR EVALUATIONS II
Michigan State University
East Lansing, 1968-75
G-4

Y 7 24 7

1150 51 183	Spring Color 1	Leafspot Rating	Snowmold	Appe	arance
Cultivar	1969,72 Wtd. Ave. (6) ²	1969,71,72 Wtd. Ave. (9)	% infected 4/8/73	1975	Wtd. Ave (100)
7.1				-3-6-	
Adelphi	2.4	2.7	5	1.7	2.1
Galaxy (NJE P-27)	1.6	2.7	5	1.9	2.1
A-20	3.7	2.0	10	1.2	2.1
NJE P-35	1.7	4.7	13	1.9	2.2
Baron	2.4	4.0	67	1.9	2.3
NJE P-5	2.1	1.7	10	2.2	2.3
NG 129	2.7	3.0	43	2.0	2.3
Golf	2.7	5.3	58	2.0	2.5
NJE P-115	2.7	4.0	7	1.7	2.5
K-103	2.4	4.0	5	1.8	2.6
K-106	3.6	5.3	92	3.0	2.7
Monopoly (59)	2.6	3.7	8	2.9	3.2
NG-101	5.1	8.0	100	4.5	3.4
A-10	3.7	8.3	87	4.2	3.7
76 G22-986	3.7	8.7	88	3.7	3.9
Delft	3.6	6.7	57	3.9	4.1
Atlas	4.1	7.0	88	2.8	4.6
Skandia II	5.0	7.0	87	2.9	4.7

(Plots of following cultivars viable only through 1974)

0.0			MAZZANICZ-		Appe	arance
Life to the Color		¥40	AND A SET	7 Ac. 7	1974 (9)	Wtd. Ave. (94)
Silverblu	4.5		7.3	73	5.3	3.7
Spaths	4.9		6.7	97	5.5	3.9
K-109	4.7		9.0	93	5.6	4.1
Captan	3.3	4	7.0	83	6.8	4.3
Bar 643	5.3		7.7	85	5.0	4.7
Hunsballe Soma	4.5		9.0	85	4.6	4.7
Troy	4.5		8.3	. 80	6.4	4.8
66 G22-982	5.4	. 9	9.0	93	6.2	4.8

^{1. 1 =} Best 9 = Poorest

^{2. () =} Number of observations in average

Table 16a. NORTHERN BLUEGRASS CULTIVAR EVALUATIONS Michigan State University Traverse City 1969-1975

	Percen	tage	Leafspot	App	earance
Cultivar	Snowmold	Infected	Rating	1975	Wtd. Ave.
	1971 (3)	1972 (3)	6/27/74 (3)	(3)	(27)
		4.7	AL-W	7	411179120
A-20	55%	33%	1.3	2.0	1.9
Adorno	13	15	1.7	2.3-	2.0
A-34 (Warren)	83	63	1.3	1.0	2.0
NJE P-27	42	17	1.3	2.0	2.1
Southport	83	68	2.3	1.3	2.1
PP-I	68	27	2.3	3.0	2.4
NJE P-57	62	40	2.7	2.0	2.4
Baron	52	23	2.3	1.7	2.4
WK-411	75	27	2.7	2.0	2.6.
NJE P-106	40	22	1.7	2.7	2.7
NJE P-35	62	13-	2.0	2.7	2.7
Nugget	72	42	1.0	1.3	2.7
Adelphi (NJE P-69	9) 47	25	2.3	3.0	2.8
Monopoly (59)	23	5	2.7	4.3	2.9
Fylking	87	50	2.7	3.3	2.9
Campus	88	73	4.3	2.0	2.9
Belturf	. 80	70	4.7	1.7	2.9
NJE P-56	62	8	1.0	1.0	2.9
NJE P-111	52	22	1.7	4.7	3.0
A-10 (Warren)	70	50	5.0	3.0	3.0
Merion	70	23	1.7	2.3	3.0
Sodco	60	15	2.0	2.3	3.0
NJE P-114	38	5	1.3	2.7	3.1
K-107	87	60	3.0	3.0	3.3
Pennstar	88	30	2.3	3.7	3.4
Cougar	65	83	3.3	2.7	3.4
NJE P-5	30	12	2.3	3.7	3.5
Arista	85	57	3.7	2.3	3.6
Prato	93	92	3.7	2.7	3.7
Primo	55	32	4.7	5.0	3.8

^{1 =} Best 9 = Poorest

^{() =} No. of observations in average

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

	Percen	tage	Leafspot	Appeara	
Cultivar	Snowmold	Infected	Rating	1975	Wtd. Ave
	1971 (3)	1972 (3)	6/27/74 (3)	(3)	(27)
Windsor	82%	40	4.0	2.3	3.8
Newport	77	57	4.3	4.3	3.9
Captan	70	40	5.7	3.3	3.9
76 G22-986	57	55	7.0	3.3	3.9
Zwartberg	63	18	3.3	5.7	4.2
BA 6124	60	23	4.3	4.7	4.3
Kenblue	83	83	6.7	4.3	4.3
Atlas	65	90	4.7	3.0	4.3
66-G22-982	77	60	7.3	5.3	4.4
Palouse	80	83	7.7	3.7	4.4
Troy	83	87	4.7	2.7	4.4
X-162	85	60	7.3	5.3	4.5
S-21	85	92	7.7	4.7	4.5
Nike	80	85	7.0	4.0	4.5
Park	70	77	8.7	3.7	4.6
Arboretum	85	92	3.3	5.3	4.7
WK-408	80	92	7.3	4.0	4.7
Delft	65	57	4.0	6.0	4.8
Geary	78	93	7.7	4.7	4.8
SK-46	72	93	8.7	5.0	4.9
Delta	63	55	7.0	4.7	4.9
Minn 6	87	93	8.0	4.7	5.0
Bar 643	93	77	5.7	2.0	5.0
Skandia II	85	97	7.7	4.7	5.1
Hunsballe Soma	80	72	6.3	3.7	5.2
S. Dakota Cert.	90	98	6.3	5.3	6.0

^{1 - 1 =} Best 9 = Poorest

^{2 - () =} No.of observations in average.

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

promount of the

The second second	-			A Part of the last	
To the same of the					
The second second					
			1968		
Per S		1			
Ä					
H + 0					
					1.5
		W 2			
		8.0	4		
		100			

· in the country of the in-

KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS VARIETY TEST East Lansing, Michigan Established 1975 Area G-4

Entensa (EVB 216) 1.7 EVB 3702 1.7 Entopper (EVB 307) 2.0 Victa 2.3 N-1214 2.3 UW Ag 463 2.3 EVB 2461 2.7 EVB 1916 2.7 WW Ag 436 2.7 WW Ag 452 3.0 EVB 2481 3.3 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 EVB 1939 EVB 1942 4.3 UW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 EvB 3965 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3 Windsor 8.0	Variety	Establishment Vigor*
EVB 3702 Entopper (EVB 307) Victa N-1214 WW Ag 463 EVB 2461 EVB 1916 EVB 1916 EVB 436 EVB 452 EVB 452 EVB 532 EVB 5585 EVB 1939 EVB 1942 EVB 1942 EVB 1942 EVB 1942 EVB 2453 EVB 2453 EVB 2453 EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion EVB 1146 T.3 EVB 1146 T.3 EVB 1146 F.3 EVB 307) EVB 2.0 EVB 2.3 EVB 2.3 EVB 2.7 EVB 307 EVB 308	Entensa (EVB 216)	1.7
Entopper (EVB 307) Victa N-1214 2.3 NW Ag 463 EVB 2461 EVB 1916 EVB 1916 EVB 481 EVB 532 EVB 5585 EVB 1939 EVB 1942 WW Ag 401 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristo1 EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion EVB 1146 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.7 2.7		1.7
Victa 2.3 N-1214 2.3 UW Ag 463 2.3 EVB 2461 2.7 EVB 1916 2.7 UW Ag 436 2.7 WW Ag 452 3.0 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 3.7 EVB 1939 3.7 EVB 1942 4.3 UW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brenswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3		
N-1214 2.3 WW Ag 463 2.3 EVB 2461 2.7 EVB 1916 2.7 WW Ag 436 2.7 WW Ag 452 3.0 EVB 2481 3.3 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 3.7 EVB 1939 3.7 EVB 1942 4.3 WW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3		
EVB 2461 2.7 EVB 1916 2.7 WW Ag 436 2.7 WW Ag 452 3.0 EVB 2481 3.3 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 3.7 EVB 1939 3.7 EVB 1942 4.3 WW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3		
EVB 1916 WW Ag 436 WW Ag 452 EVB 2481 EVB 532 EVB 5585 EVB 1939 EVB 1942 WW Ag 401 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol EVB 3965 Campina Brenswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion EVB 1146 2.7 3.0 2.7 3.0 2.7 4.7 3.0 4.3 EVB 2481 4.3 4.3 EVB 5585 5.3 6.0 6.7 7.3 EVB 173 EVB 1146 7.3	VW Ag 463	2.3
WW Ag 436 2.7 WW Ag 452 3.0 EVB 2481 3.3 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 3.7 EVB 1939 3.7 EVB 1942 4.3 WW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	EVB 2461	2.7
WW Ag 436 2.7 WW Ag 452 3.0 EVB 2481 3.3 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 3.7 EVB 1939 3.7 EVB 1942 4.3 WW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	EVB 1916	2.7
EVB 2481 3.3 EVB 532 3.3 EVB 5585 3.7 EVB 1939 3.7 EVB 1942 4.3 WW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	WW Ag 436	2.7
EVB 532 EVB 5585 EVB 1939 EVB 1942 WW Ag 401 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion 3.3 3.7 4.7 4.3 S.7 4.3 S.7 6.0 6.0 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	WW Ag 452	3.0
EVB 5585 EVB 1939 EVB 1942 WW Ag 401 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion 3.7 4.3 4.3 4.7 5.0 5.3 5.3 6.0 6.0 7.3	EVB 2481	3.3
EVB 1939 EVB 1942 WW Ag 401 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion 3.7 4.3 4.3 5.0 5.0 5.3 5.3 5.7 6.0 6.0 7.3	EVB 532	3.3
EVB 1942 4.3 WW Ag 401 4.3 EVB 2453 4.7 Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	EVB 5585	3.7
WW Ag 401 EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion EVB 1146 4.3 4.7 4.7 5.0 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.7 6.0 6.7 7.3 7.3 7.3	EVB 1939	3.7
EVB 2453 Vantage Bristol EVB 3965 Campina Brunswick (P-57) P-56 Pennstar Merion 5.7 FVB 1146 4.7 5.0 5.3 5.3 6.0 7.3 6.0 7.3	EVB 1942	4.3
Vantage 5.0 Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	WW Ag 401	4.3
Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	EVB 2453	4.7
Bristol 5.3 EVB 3965 5.3 Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	Vantage	5.0
Campina 5.7 Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	4 (1) (1) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	5.3
Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	EVB 3965	5.3
Brunswick (P-57) 6.0 P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	Campina	5.7
P-56 6.7 Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3	The state of the s	
Pennstar 7.3 Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3		
Merion 7.3 EVB 1146 7.3		
	EVB 1146	7.3

^{*} Plots seeded 9-15-75, Conover silt loam, 5'0" x 6'3" - 3 replications.

⁻ NOT FOR PUBLICATION -

0.5	
	DEA TA TT
E.F	
E.E	
7.2	
	NAL SYZZ
	2002 000
7.3	

^{*} Plots mested 9-15-75, Comewor wilt loss, \$10 2 613" -

THE PARTITION HOT TOU -

KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS VARIETY TEST East Lansing, Michigan Established 1975 Area F-4

. Y 1

Variety	Establishment Vigor*
Park	1.0
Newport	1.0
Blade	1.3
Delta	1.3
Delft	2.0
Galaxy	2.7
Adelphi	2.7
Tiuoli	3.0
Mosa	3.3
Nugget	4.3
Olymprisp	4.3
Gardi	4.3
Kimono	4.3
Orna	4.7
Majestic	5.0
Fylking	5.0
Merion	5.0
А-20 Ъ	5.3
Birka	5.7
Sydsport	5.7
Bonnieblue	5.7
Plush	6.0
Cheri	6.3
A-34	6.3
Touchdown	7.0
Baron	7.3
A-20	8.0

^{*} Plots seeded 9-10-75, Conover silt loam, 5' \times 7' - 3 replications

B. Soils and Nutrition

B.1. Soil physical properties Cohansey bentgrass was maintained under greens conditions. One half of each plot was compacted about twice weekly. The green was allowed to develop a severe dollarspot infestation. The compacted half of each plot averaged 54% of the plot area infested with dollarspot

while the uncompacted side averaged 18%.

B.3. Soil testing

Applying as high as 6 pounds K20 per 1000 sq. ft. did not raise soil K tests above 200 pounds per acre on the sandy soil at Traverse City. Liberal irrigation is apparently leaching the K from this soil.

B.5. Turfgrass fertilization

Applying ammonium nitrate as a foliar application to Kentucky bluegrass caused much more phytotoxicity than urea (Table B-1). The injury from ammonium nitrate was evident within a few minutes after application evidenced by a grayish green color. Quality ratings taken 3 days after treatment reflected the greater injury from ammonium nitrate. The degree of injury was reduced slightly by applying more water with the treatment. When applied dry there was no visible injury from urea and only slight injury from ammonium nitrate 3 days after treatment.

Table B-1. Nitrogen carrier, rate, and method of application effects on Kentucky bluegrass at Traverse City. Treatments applied 7/30/75.

Treatment Quality Rating(1=best) Injury Rating(1=none) Carrier N Rate Method Aug 2 Oct 20 July 30 Aug 2 1bs/M None 6.0 9.0 1.0 1.0 33-0-0 3 gal 6.8 1. 7.3 6.3 6.3 45-0-0 1 3 gal 3.0 4.3 1.7 2.0 33-0-0 5.0 12 gal 5.3 5.3 4.3 45-0-0 1 12 gal 2.3 3.7 1.0 1.0 33-0-0 2 3 gal 9.0 3.3 8.7 8.0 45-0-0 4.0 2 3 gal 2.7 2.0 3.0 33-0-0 2 7.3 12 gal 3.7 7.0 6.3 45-0-0 2.7 12 gal 3.7 1.7 2.3 33-0-0 1 Dry 3.3 5.7 2.0 1.0 33-0-0 2 3.3 3.0 Dry 1.0 2.3 45-0-0

1.7

Dry

Returning clippings to Merion Kentucky bluegrass resulted in less response to nitrogen treatments than where clippings were removed. When moved at 1 inch with clippings returned Poa annua has invaded from 15-25% of the plot area. When clippings were removed at the 1 inch mowing height or when mowed at 2 inches,

2.3

1.0

1.0

whether clippings were returned or removed, there was essentially no \underline{Poa} annua present. Treatments on these plots were initiated in 1963.

Higher annual N rates have resulted in an increase in the percentage of Poa annua in a mixed Merion Kentucky bluegrass-Poa annua polystand as suggested in Table B-2. Treatments were initiated in 1972. When the N treatments were concentrated in the summer months (May and July) the amount of Poa annua was 38 percent in the plots while when all the N was applied in April Poa annua accounted for 80% of the turf (Table B-3).

Table B-2. Effect of annual nitrogen rate on a Merion-Poa annua polystand at East: Lansing N treatments divided into monthly applications.

Annual rate Lbs/1,000 sq. ft.	Percent Poa annua in turf
Control of the state of the sta	47
anvel to nated and and test time	58
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	63
Total male the ball of the state of the stat	none which is a second to the first term of the
8	73
12	72

Table B-2. Effect of time of application of ammonium nitrate on a Merion-Poa annua polystand at East Lansing. N applied at 4 pounds N/1,000 sq. ft. annually.

Ti	me of application		Percent I	Poa annua i	n plot
	Apr., May, Aug.			55	
	Apr., Aug., Sept.			50	
	May, July			38	
	Apr.			80	
	Aug.			55	
	Apr., Aug.			67	

Higher nitrogen rates resulted in a higher incidence of stripe smut invasion of Merion Kentucky bluegrass at Traverse City. Applying heavy nitrogen in the spring also appeared to increase the stripe smut susceptibility of the turf.

Ferrous sulfate was applied foliarly every 2 weeks to bentgrass turf mowed at putting green height. Applying 12 ounces of FeSO₄ per 1,000 square feet resulted in improved turf color, but there was some injury with this treatment (Table B-4). The application of 3 or 6 ounces of FeSO₄ resulted in correspondingly lower turf quality and injury ratings. The duration of the effects from the iron treatments varied inversely with the growth rate of the turf.

Table B-4. Iron sulfate effects on bentgrass turf at East Lansing. Treatments applied at 2 week intervals beginning 7/3/75. Averages for 5 rating dates.

reso ₄ Rate		rating (1=best) In	njury rating (1=none)
None	A PLANT OF BUILDING	6.0	1.0
3		3.1	
6	The second of the	2.0	1.9
		1.2	2.8

B.6. Soil salinity.

A home lawn on clay loam soil received a heavy rate of salt washed from a highway department salt storage site. In June the turf and trees were continuing to show further stress. Table B-5 shows soil tests for various locations in the yard. Soluble salts are determined in an extract from a mixture of 2 parts water to 1 part soil. The quackgrass showed reasonably good salt tolerance by continuing to grow in spite of high soluble salt tests.

Table B-5. Soil tests from salt injured turf. Samples taken June, 1975.

Condition	Soluble salts MHOS/cm x 10-5	Sodium ppm	P. S. Santa
"Good"turf	84	50	
Dying turf	149	160	
Dead grass	155	250	
Quackgrass	185	300	
Bare soil (0-4 in.)	350	500	
Bare soil (8-12 in.)	300	300	

B.7. Other-Wetting Agents

Two years after treatment with wetting agents applied to correct a hydrophobic soil condition on sand soil at Boyne Highlands the effect of the treatments has dissipated. Hydro-Wet and Aqua-Gro were the only consistently effective wetting agents among those studied, with Hydro-Wet slightly more effective than Aqua-Gro. This was reflected in both turf quality ratings and soil moisture measurements (Table B-6). One study initiated in 1974 showed no response to wetting agent treatments, while in one other study initiated in 1972 there was limited response. In 5 other studies wetting agent treatments have given positive results ranging from a few months to 3 years. Cultivation by coring has not proven effective in improving turf for more than a few weeks in the studies undertaken, but did prove effective on the greens on the course. Applying water shortly after treatment with wetting agents did not increase the effectiveness of the treatments (Table B-7). Timing of wetting agent application in relation to the development of the hydrophobic condition appears to be a significant factor.

Table B-6. Effect of wetting agents on turfgrass quality as influenced by a hydrophobic soil condition at Boyne Highlands. Treated 8/7/74.

Treatment		4.8	Quality ra		2430	Soil moisture,%
Chemical	Rate, oz/ 1,000 sq.ft.	Water	10/18/74	7/31/75	10/22/75	7/31/75
None			-0.8a	1.8a	0.8	3.5a
Aqua-Gro	16	Yes	-2.5b	0.0ab	-2.3	4.2ab
Aqua-Gro	16	No	-2.4b	-0.3ab	-3.8	5.6ac
Aqua-Gro	32	Yes	-3.0bc	-1.85	-4.5	7.6bd
Aqua-Gro	32	No	-2.9b	-1.8ъ	-4.0	5.7ac
Hydro-Wet	16	Yes	-2.8b	-2.3bc	-4.5	8.5cd
Hydro-Wet	16	No	-3.8c	-3.3bc	-5.0	8.2cd
Hydro-Wet	32	Yes	-4.4c	-5.3c	-5.5	8.8cd
Hydro-Wet	32	No	-4.4c	-5.5c	-5.5	9.6d

Table B-7. Effect of wetting agents on rewetting a hydrophobic sand at Boyne Highlands. Treated 8/7/74

Treatment			Quality rating change from 8/7/74							
Chemical	Rate, oz/ 1,000 sq.ft.	9/20/74	10/18/74	5/22/75	7/31/75	10/22/75				
Hydro-Wet	16	-2.1c	-2.5bc	-0,5d	0.0ъ	-2.8				
Aqua-Gro	16	-1.4bc	-1.0ac	0.0cf	2.8a	-1.5				
Mikroclean	16	-1.4bc	-1.3ac	1.0be	3.3a	1.8				
Adjuvant-T	16	-0.8ac	-1.3ac	2.3ab	4.5a	2.3				
Chipco	16	-0.5ab	-0.1a	2.5ab	4.0a	2.5				
Stevens	32	0.1ab	-0.1a	1.5bd	3.5a	2.0				
Wex	16	0.6a	-0.1a	2.3ab	3.8a	1.8				
Grozyme	16	0.5a	0.5a	3.8a	4.0a	1.3				
National Chem	16	0.9a	0.6a	2.5ab	4.3a	2.0				
None		0.5a	0.4a	1.8a	2.8a	1.0				

Aqua-Gro was slightly more phytotoxic to bentgrass turf than Hydro-Wet at rates of 8 to 16 ounces per 1,000 square feet applied monthly, but Aqua-Gro was considerably more phytotoxic at rates up to 64 ounces. The injury was greater when 7 pounds nitrogen was applied annually than at 4 pounds N per 1,000 square feet. Injury lasted only a day or two with low rates and good environmental conditions but at the highest rates and under environmental stress conditions serious injury and some death of turf occurred. Applying more water (12 gal./1,000 square feet) resulted in slightly less phytotoxicity than with 3 gal. Dew disspitation was evident 3 to 4 days with Aqua-Gro and 2-3 days with Hydro-Wet.

F.2b. Disease control-Snow Mold - J. M. Vargas, Jr., and R. Detweiler

The 1975 snow mold fungicide evaluation trials were conducted at the Boyne Highland Resort, Harbor Springs, Michigan on "Penncross" creeping bentgrass mowed at 1/2 inch. No fungicides were applied to the test area during the growing season. The wettable powder (WP) and flowables (F) were applied with a CO₂ small plot sprayer and the granular fungicide with a 3 foot Scotts spreader. The test was divided into two studies because of the large size. The plots were 6 ft. x 6 ft. and the treatments were replicated 3 times in a random block design for each study.

Study A

The results of Study A can be seen in Table 1. They show that practically all the snow mold present was Typhula blight with only a little Fusarium patch as indicated. In addition to the old standbys, Calo gran and Calo clor, Scotts F + F II, Dow 281, MF 582, Terraclor and Acti-dione RZ all ranked high. With the exception of Calo gran, calo clor, and Dow 281, all these materials contain PCMB (MF 582 and Acti-dione RZ) or were PCNB (Terraclor and Scotts F + F II with the F + F II also containing fertilizer). On an appearance basis, the Scotts F + F II was the best, mainly due to the effect of the fertilizer on grass color and growth. This is the second season in which good results have been obtained with the PCNB fungicides against Typhula blight.

Study B

Study B had both Typhula blight and Fusarium patch present. They are listed together on Table 2 as % snow mold and broken down in Table 2a to %

Typhula blight and Table 2b to % Fusarium patch. The results in Table 2, 2a, 2b, show that whereas Fusarium patch was present in this study, the predominant snow mold was Typhula blight. Cleary's 4222 and 4223; Daconil 2787 at the 1/4 pt., 1/2 pt., and 1 pt. alone and in combination with Exhalt 800 or Tersan SP 6 oz; Tersan SP 9 oz.; Scotts Fungicide II at the 2X rate; all gave significant control compared to the untreated check, Table 2.

When the results are factored out in tables 2a and 2b, it can be seen that the majority of the snow mold present in the Daconil 2787 and Cleary's 4222 and 4223 plots was due to Fusarium patch, whereas the majority of the snow mold present in the Tersan SP and Scotts Fungicide II plots at the high rate was due to Typhula blight. The untreated control had 43% Typhula blight and 17% Fusarium patch.

Course by Commercial and the Party Company of the Commercial Comme

Table 1. Percent Typhula blight on Penncross creeping bentgrass, except where otherwise indicated, at the Boyne Highland Resort, Harbor Springs, MI

Chemical & Rate/1000	sq ft		Percer	nt infec	ted ar	eab				
		I	II	III	AV					
Calo-gran	2 1bs	0	0	0	0	a				
Scotts F÷F II	1 x	1_	1	. 5	2	а				
Calo-clor	4 oz .	15ª	1_	0	5	a.	b			
Du. 287	8 oz	2ª	3ª	10	5	a	b			
MF 582	9 oz	7	7	5	6	a	b			
Terraclor	8 oz	15	10	5	10	a	b			
ME EOO	2 oz	5ª	10	20	12	a	b	C		
Acti-dione RZ	8 cz	5	5	30	13	a	b	C		
Terraclor	4 oz	20	10	30	20	a	b	C		
MF 594	0 1bs	25ª	25	25	25	a	b	C		
MF 594	7 1bs	30	20	30	27	a	Ь	C		
MF 582	6 oz	45	7	30	27	a	Ь	C		
Dow 342	8 oz	31	50	40	40		b	C	d	
Dow 263	8 oz	18	80	30 ^a	41		b	C	d	
MF 594	5 1bs	30	50	60	48			C	d	e
Milorganite	2 1bs	70	70	80	73				d	е
IBDU	2 1bs	70	60	90	73				d	е
and the same	8 oz	70	90	80	80				No.	е
Check	O OL	90	70	90	83		4			
	2 1bs	80	100	95	92		- 2			

a - represents the following % Fusarium Patch included in the number:

MF 582 - 2%; Dow 263 - 10%; Dow 281 - 1 and 2% respectively; Calo Clor 5%; MF 594 - 5%.

b - Treatments follow by the same level are not significantly different at the 5% level

Table 2. Percent Typhula blight and Fusarium blight on Penncross creeping bentgrass at the Boyne Highlands Resort, Harbor Springs, MI

Chemical and Rate/1000 sq. ft.		Perc	ent Infe	cted are	aa	1		
	. I	II	III	AVE				
Cleary's 4222 8 1bs	2	2	1	2	a			
Cleary's 4223 8 lbs	2	2	5	3	a			
Daconil 2787 1/2 pt Exhalt 8 oz.	5	2	6	4	a	19		
Daconil 2787 1 pt + Exhalt 8 oz.	5	7	2	5	a			
Daconil 2787 1/2 pt Tersan sp. 6 oz	8	2	10	7	a			
Daconil 2787 1/2 pt	10	15	1	9	a			
Daconil 2787 1/4 pt + Tersan sp 6 oz	1	30	5	12	a			
Daconil 2787 1 pt + Tersan sp 6 oz	7	5	35	14	a			
Daconil 2787 1 pt	5	10	30	15	a			
Tersan SP 9 oz	15	25	10	17	a	b		
Daconil 2787 1/4 pt	15	30	20	22	a	b		
Scotts Fungicide II 2 x	8	30	30	23	а	b		
Daconil 2787 1/4 pt & Exhalt 8 oz.	7	40	40	29	a	b		
Clearys 4225 8 1bs	60	40	30	43		b	C	
Tersan SP 6 oz	40	60	30	43		b	C	
Scotts Fungicide II 1 x	60	40	30	43		b	C	
Check	70	80	30	60			C	d
Exhalt 8 oz.	95	32	90	72	1.			d

a - Treatments followed by the same letter not significantly different at the 5% level

Table 2a. Percent infection of study B due to Typhula blight.

Chemical and Rate/10	00 sq. ft		Perc	ent inf	ected ar	eaa	
	Children and a	I	II	III	AVE	. ,	
Daconil 2787	1 pt.	0	0	0	0	a	
Daconil 2787	1/4 pt+Exhalt 8oz	0	0	0	0	a	
Daconil 2787	1/2 pt+Exhalt 8oz	0	0	0	0	a	
Daconil 2787	1 pt+Exhalt	0	0	0	0	a	
Daconil 2787	1/4 pt+Tersan SP 6 oz.	0	0	0	0	a	
Daconil 2787	1 pt+Tersan SP 6 oz.	0	0	0	0	a	
Daconil 2787	1/2 pt	5	0	0	2	a	
Clearys 4222	8 1bs	2	2	1	2	a	
Daconil 2787	1/2 pt+Tersan SP 6 oz.	7	1	0	3	a	
Clearys 4223	8 1bs	2	2	5	3	a	
Daconil 2787	1/4 pt	5	30	0	12	a	b
Tersan SP	9 oz	15	20	9	15	a	b
Scotts Fungicide II	2X	1	30	30	20	a	b c
Tersan SP	6 oz	20	30	20	23	a	b c
Clearys 4225	8 lbs	60	20	15	32		b c
Scotts Fungicide II	1X	60	40	20	40	1	c d
Check		70	50	10	43		c d
Exhalt	8 oz	95	30	60	62	17/19/10	d

a - Treatments followed by the same letter not significantly different at the 5% level

Table 2b. Percent infection of study B due to Fusarium Patch.

Chemical and Rate/1	000 sq. ft.		Perc	ent inf	ected ar	reaa	/	
		I	II	III	AV			
Clearys 4222	8 ·1bs	0	0	0	0	a		
Clearys 4223	8 1bs	0	0	0	0	a		
Tersan SP	9 oz ;	0	5	1	2	a		
Scotts Fungicide II	2X	7	0	0	2	a		
Scotts Fungicide II	1X	0	0	10	. 3	a		
Daconil 2787	1/2 pt+Tersan SP 6 oz.	1	1	10	4	a		
Daconil 2787	1/2 pt+Exhalt 8oz	5	2	6	4	a	Ь	
Daconil 2787	1 pt+Exhalt 8oz	5	7	2	5	a	b	
Daconil 2787	1/2 pt	5	15	1	7	a	Ь	
Daconil 2787	1/4 pt	10	0	20	10	a	b	C
Exhalt		6	2	30	11	a	Ь	C
Clearys 4225	8 1bs	0	20	15	12	a	b	C
Daconil 2787	1/4 pt+Tersan SP 6 oz.	1	30	5	12	a	b	С
Daconil 2787	1 pt+Ter. SP 6 oz	1	5	35	14	a	b	C
Daconil 2787	1 pt	5	10	30	15	a	b	C
Check	100	0	30	20	17	a.	b	C
Tersan SP	6 oz	20	30	10	20		b	C
Daconil 2787	1/4 pt+Exhalt 8 oz	7	40	40	29	i i		C

a - Treatments followed by the same letter not significantly different from each other at the 5% level

F2b. Helminthosporium Leaf Spot Study - J. M. Vargas, Jr., and R. Detweiler

The Helminthosporium leaf spot study was conducted at the M.S.U. Soils Farm on Park Kentucky Bluegrass maintained at a 2 inch height of cut. The plots were 6 x 6 ft. and replicated 3 times in a random block design. The liquid applications were made with a $\rm CO_2$ hand held sprayer and the dry applications were made with a Scotts 3 ft. spreader. The treatments were applied on May 8, 15, 30 and June 12 except for Scotts F + F II which was applied only once on May 8 and Spectro and Cleary's 4223 which were applied twice on May 30 and June 12. The readings were taken on June 19. The plots were rated on a 0-9 scale with a 0 representing no spots present on the leaf blade and 9 representing 90% or more of the leaf blade surface covered with spots.

The results in Table 1 show that most of the fungicides at one or more rates gave significant control when compared to the untreated check. The noticeable exceptions being EL-222, Cleary's 4223, and Fungo. Those ranking highest in the study (giving the best control) were RP 26019, 2 + 4 oz., Dyrene 4, 5, 6, 8 oz., Daconil 2787 4, 6, 8 oz., Daconil 4 + 6 oz., plus Exhalt 800 1 pt/100 gal and Acti-dione RZ, 4 oz.

Table 1. Helminthosporium Leaf Spot Fungicide Trials

	Rate	of	Ra	tings ²	REPARTS.	Constant Constant
Treatment	1000		I	II	III	Average ¹
RP 26019	2	oz.	2	1.5	1.5	1.7 a
RP 26019	4	OZ.	1.5	2	1.5	1.7 a
Dyrene	4	oz.	2	2	2	2 a b
Dyrene	5	oz.	2	3	2	2.3 a b c
Dyrene	8	oz.	2	1.5	4	2.5 a b c d
Dyrene	6	OZ.	2	3	3	2.7 a b c d
Daconil 2787	4	OZ.	2	2	4	2.7 a b c d
Daconil 2787 Exhalt 800 1	4 pt/1	oz. 00 gal	2	2	4	2.7 a b c d
Daconil 2787 Exhalt 800 1	6 pt/1	oz. 00 gal	3	3	2	2.7 a b c d
Daconil 2787	6	OZ.	3	3	4	3.3 a b c d e
Daconil 2787	8	OZ.	2	4	4	3.3 a b c · d e
Acti-dione RZ	4	OZ.	4	3	3	3.3 a b c d e
Daconil 2787	5	OZ.	5	2	4	3.7 bcdef
DPX 164	4	OZ	3	5	4	4 cdefg
MF 573	6	OZ,	4	3	5	4 cdefg
Scotts F+F	1x		5	4	4	4.3 defgh
Bromosan	6	0Z+	3	5	5	4.3 defgh
Tersan LSR	4.5	OZ.	4	6	5	5 efghi
DPX 164	2	OZ.	6	5	5	5.3 fghij
Terraclor	4	OZ.	6	4	6	5.3 fghij
MF 573	4	OZ.	6	4	6	5.3 fghij
Spectro	4	OZ.	5	5	7	5.7 ghij
Terraclor	2	OZ,	6	4	7	5.7 ghij
Actidione-Thiram	2	óz.	5	8	5	6 hij
Actidione-Thiram	4	OZ.	5	6	8	6.3 j
Cleary's 4223	2	OZ	5	6	8	6.3 i j
EL 222	2	OZ	5	7	7	6.3 i j
Fungo .	1.8	OZ.	5	7	8	6.7 i j
Tersan LSR	3	OZ,	8	5	7	6.7 i j
Actidione-RZ	2	OZ.	7	7	7	7 j

W 1 7 1		
Table	1 . ((cont'd)
		(come a)

Bromosan	3 .oz.	8	5	8	7	j	k
Cleary's 4223	4 oz.	7	7	7	7	j	k
EL 222	4 oz.	7	9	6	7	j	k
Spectro	2 oz.	6	7	8	7	j	k
Fungo	1.2 oz.	6	8	8	7.3		k
Exhalt 800 1	pt/100 gal	7	7	8	7.3		k
Check	ing dayed	8	7	9	8		

Treatments followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level.

^{2. 1-}least disease; 9-most disease.

F2b. 3clorotinia Dollar Spot Study - J. M. Vargas, Jr., and R. Detweiler

The regular Sclerotinia dollar spot study was conducted on the M.S.U. Crop Science Farm. The plots were 3×6 ft. and the treatments were replicated 3 times in a random block design. All treatments gave significant control compared to the untreated control. The treatments ranking at the top can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2: Control of Sclerotinia dollar spot.

	Per cent reduction of dollar spot	89.2 87.3 87.1 87.1 80.6 81.3 77.2 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77
Reading after 2-9/15	Total number of spots	221 221 221 232 233 24 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 27 27 27 27 28 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
Reading a	Avg. no. spots/ plot	6.3 7.0 7.0 7.0 11.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10
Reading before 8/26	Total number of spots	122 122 122 123 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125
Reading	Avg. no. spots/ plot	43.3 49.7 40.7 39.3 21.3 28.3 28.3 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 50.3 20.0 50.3 20.0 50.3 20.0 50.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 2
	Rate/1000 sq.ft.	1 02. 2 02. 1 02. 1 02. 4 02. 5 02. 6 02. 6 02. 7 02. 7 02. 7 02. 8 02. 8 02. 8 02. 8 02. 8 02. 8 02. 8 02. 8 02. 1 02. 8 02. 1 02. 8 02. 1 02. 1 02.
*	Treatments	Fungo 50 Cleary's 3224 Cleary's 3224 Scotts 7498 MF 573 Tersan 1991 R.P. 26019 Dyrene Daconil 2787 + Exhalt Daconil 2787 Dyrene Dyrene Dyrene Exhalt Form-A-Turf Form-A

F2b. Benzimidazole resistant dollar spot study - J. M. Vargas, Jr., & R. Detweiler

The benzimidazole resistant dollar spot study was conducted on the M.S.U. Soil Farm. The treatments in study number 1 were applied on 7/8, 7/23, 8/7, 8/19 and 9/4. The treatments in Study 2 were applied on 8/7 and 8/19. All treatments were applied with a hand held CO₂ sprayer.

Study 1

The results show none of the fungicides were effective in controlling the benzimidazole resistant strain of dollar spot Table 3.

Study 2

The study was read on 8/26. Table 4 shows Daconil 2787, 6 oz., R.P. 26019 2 oz., Calo Clor 2 oz, R.P. 26019 1 oz, Actidione - Thiram 4 oz., Calo Clor 3 oz., Acti-dione RZ 2 oz., and Dyrene 6 oz. ranked at the top of those giving significant control over the benzimidazole resistant strain of dollar spot. Calo Clor and Acti-dione RZ were phytotoxic. It is obvious for complete control shorter treatment intervals will be required.

TABLE 3: Control of benzimidazole resistant Scleortinia dollar spot.

		Ave.	Ave. Number of spots/					
Treatment ¹	Rate	I (8/26)	II (9/9)	III (9/15)				
MF 598	3 oz.	400*	400*	400*				
MF 598	4 oz.	0	п	n .				
MF 598	6 oz.	н	u	market was				
Dithane M-45	2.25 oz.	n:	11	11				
Dithane M-45	. 3 oz.	и	n	н				
Dithane M-45	4.5 oz.	п	u.,	11				
Fungo 50	.9 oz.	11	11	11				
Fungo 50	1.2 oz.	п	11	н				
Fungo 50	1.8 oz.	п	ii .	, ii				
Check		10	15	u				

^{*} Estimation - spots to numerous to count.

¹ Treatment dates are 7/8, 7/23, 8/23, 8/19.

Table 4. Control of benzimidazole resistant Sclerotinia dollar spot.

	rate/	Number of	spots		
Treatment	1000 sq.ft. 1	I II	III	Avera	ige ¹ /
Daconil 2787	6 oz.	6 3	12	7.0 8	1
R.P. 26019	2 oz.	0 0	23	7.7 8	1
Calo-Clor ^a	2 oz. 1	7 : 20	23	20 a	a b
R. P. 26019	1 oz. 1	7 45	11	24.3 8	a b
Actidione-Thiran	1 4 oz. 2	5 25	25	25.0 8	a b
Calo-Clora	3 oz 2	8 35	21	28.0 8	ь
Actidione-RZ ^a	2 oz. 3	7 35	29	33.7 8	b b
Dyrene	.6 oz. 6	0 32	85	59.0 8	b
Actidione-Thiran	2 oz. 12	5 73	40	79.3	b c
Daconil 2787	3 oz. 6	5 70	106	80.3	bс
Actidione-RZ ^a	1 oz. 11	5 103	153	123.7	c d
Dyrene	3 oz. 20	0 150	92	147.3	,d
Tersan 75	3 oz. 7	5 263	213	183.7	.e
Tersan 1991	1 oz. 40	0* 400*	400*	400*	f
Cleary's 3224	2 oz. 40	0* 400*	400*	400*	f
Check	40	0* 400*	400*	400*	f

Treatment followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level.

^{*}Estimation - spots to numerous to count.

^aPhytotoxic to the turf.

F2b. Anthracnose - J. M. Vargas, Jr., and R. Detweiler

The Anthracnose studies were conducted at the Dearborn C.C. in Dearborn, MI on the fairway which was primarily \underline{Poa} annua cut a 1/2 inch height. The plots were 6 X 6 ft. and replicated in a random block design. The liquid applications were made with a CO_2 hand held sprayer and the dry formulation with a Scotts 3 ft. drop spreader.

Study I

Treatments for Study I were applied on 8/6 and 8/16 except for Tersan 1991 8 oz., Scotts F & F II and Ammonium Nitrate which were only applied once on August 6. The systemic fungicide Tersan 1991, DPX and Scotts Fertilizer plus DSB Fungicide were all drenched in after application. The plots were evaluated on percent thinning due to Anthracnose. The readings were taken on 9/11. The results in Table 1 show that Tersan 1991 at 8 oz., 4 oz., and 1 oz.; DPX at 8 oz., and 4 oz.; and Scott's Fertilizer & DSB Fungicide all gave significant control over the untreated check. Table 5.

Study II

The fungicides in Table 2 were applied only once on August 18. Recovery in this study was not as good as in Study I, which is probably due to the fact that the plots only received one treatment and, more importantly, due to the fact that the treatments were made over a month after the initial appearance of the disease. In study II Tersan 1991 2 oz. drench; Cleary's 3336 2 oz. drench and 3 oz. foliar and Fungo 4 oz. drench gave significant control compared to the untreated check Table 6.

Table 5. Control of Anthracnose in Poa annua

Percent infected area

Ra	te/1000		Iver ration				1	
Treatment	ft 2	I	11	III	Ave	rage		
DPX 164	8 oz.	0	0	0	0	a		
Tersan 1991 (D ²)	4 oz.	0	0	0	0	а		
Scotts Fertilizer + DSB	1 x	0	5	0	1.7	a b		
Tersan 1991	l oz.	5	0	0	1.7	a b		
Tersan 1991 (D)	8 oz.	0	5	0	1.7	a b		
DPX 164	4 oz.	0	10	5	5	a b c		
Tersan 1991	3 oz.	25	0	0	8.3	abo	: d	
Scotts F+F	1 x	20	20	30	23.3	a b c	d e	
Tersan LSR	8 oz.	40	15	20	25	abo	d e	
Scotts 10IV	1 X	25	15	40	26.7	a b c	d e	
Am. Nitrate	1 1b.	30	40	10	26.7	a b c	d e	
Daconil 2787	4 oz.	50	5	40	31.7	bo	d e	
Tersan 75	4 oz.	30	10	60	33.3	(d e	f
Daconil 2787	8 oz.	60	10	40	36.7		d e	f
Tersan LSR	4 oz.	35	30	50	38.3		d e	f
Dyrene	4 oz.	70	10	40	40.0		e	f
Check		40	20	60	40.0		е	f
Dyrene	8 oz.	70	5	50	41.3	*	е	f
Tersan LSR	6 oz.	20	60	50	43.3		е	f
Calo-Clor	2 oz.	70	40	50	53.3		е	f
Calo-Clor	1 oz.	80	50	60	63.3			f

 $^{^{1}\}text{Treatments}$ followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level.

²Symbol (D) signifies treatment was Drenched in.

Table 6. Control of Anthracnose in Poa annua

	rate/ O sq. ft.	I	, I	I II	I Aver	age ¹	1
Tersan 1991 (D) ^Z	2 oz.	5		5 20	6.7	a	
Cleary's 3336 (D)	2 oz.	5	1:	5 5	8.3	a b	
Cleary's 3336	3 oz.	10	1	5 5	10	a b	Tanga T
Fungo 50 (D)	4 oz.	20	20	5	15	a b	
Cleary's 3336 (D)	4 oz.	20	20) 15	18.3	a b c	
Cleary's 3336 (D)	1 oz.	40	10	20	23.3	a b c	d
Fungo 50 (D)	1 oz.	20	20	30	23.3	аьс	d
Fungo 50 (D)	2 oz.	40	2!	5 10	25	a b c	d
Cleary's 3336	1 oz.	30	4(15	28.3	a b c	d
Tersan 1991 (D)	1 oz.	10	30	50	30.0	Ьс	d
Fungo 50	1 oz.	35	25	30	30.0	ьс	d
R.P. 26019	2 oz.	40	35	5 40	38.3	C	d
Check		40	20	60	40.0	С	d·
Fungo 50	3 oz.	60	30	0 40	43.3		d

¹Treatment followed by the same letters are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level.

⁽D) signifies treatment was drenched in.

F2b. Fusarium Blight Study - J. M. Vargas, Jr., and R. Detweiler

The 1975 Fusarium blight studies were conducted at the Pebble Creek.

Apartments in Farmington, Michigan and at the Michigan State University Crop and Soil Science field laboratories on Merion Kentucky bluegrass. The plots at Pebble Creek were 5 x 10 feet whereas those at M.S.U. were 5' x 6' both sets of plots were replicated in a random block design. The liquid application was made with a Ortho hose jar applicator and the dry grannular applications were made with a 3 foot Scotts drop spreader. All treatments were immediately drenched in after application.

Pebble Creek

The treatments at Pebble Creek were applied on July 2, 16 and August 22. The readings were taken on Sept. 23. The results in Table 7 show that Cleary's 3224, Tersan 1991 8 oz. with and without wetting agent, Aqua Grow 8 oz., R.P. 26019 ranked at the top of the treatments. The study was conducted on a heavy clay soil and the wetting agents apparently helped with the penetration of the fungicide and/or water into the soil.

M.S.U. Soils Field Laboratory

The treatments were applied on August 28 and September 11 and the readings were taken on Oct. 15. The results in Table 8 shows Tersan 1991 8 oz, Tersan 1991 8 oz plus Hydro-wet; Tersan 1991 8 oz plus Aqua-Gro gave significant control of Fusarium blight compared to the untreated check.

Table 7. PEBBLE CREEK Fusarium blight study. % area infected

Rate	I	II	III	T	AV
8 oz	2	10	0	12	4.0
8 oz	2	2	30	34	11.3
'4 QZ	0	20	30	50	16.7
8 oz	10	10	30	50	16.7
4 oz	2	30	20	52	17.3
8 oz	10	15	30	55	18.3
8 oz	40	10	10	60	20.0
4 oz	30	30	5	65	21.7
4 oz	40	20	10	70	23.3
8 oz	20	30	20	70	23.3
4 oz	50	10	25	85	28.3
4 oz	60	5	20	85	28.3
4 oz	70	5	25	100	33.3
8 oz	15	60	30	105	35.0
8 oz	50	10	50	110	36.7
	60	20	40	120	40.0
	8 oz 8 oz 4 oz 8 oz 4 oz 8 oz 4 oz 4 oz 4 oz 4 oz 4 oz 4 oz 4 oz 8 oz	8 oz 2 8 oz 2 4 oz 0 8 oz 10 4 oz 2 8 oz 10 8 oz 10 8 oz 40 4 oz 30 4 oz 40 8 oz 40 8 oz 20 4 oz 50 4 oz 50 4 oz 70 8 oz 15 8 oz 50	8 oz 2 10 8 oz 2 2 4 oz 0 20 8 oz 10 10 4 oz 2 30 8 oz 10 15 8 oz 40 10 4 oz 30 30 4 oz 40 20 8 oz 20 30 4 oz 50 10 4 oz 60 5 4 oz 70 5 8 oz 15 60 8 oz 50 10	8 oz 2 10 0 8 oz 2 2 30 4 oz 0 20 30 8 oz 10 10 30 4 oz 2 30 20 8 oz 10 15 30 8 oz 40 10 10 4 oz 30 30 5 4 oz 40 20 10 8 oz 20 30 20 4 oz 50 10 25 4 oz 60 5 20 4 oz 70 5 25 8 oz 15 60 30 8 oz 50 10 50	8 oz 2 10 0 12 8 oz 2 2 30 34 4 oz 0 20 30 50 8 oz 10 10 30 50 4 oz 2 30 20 52 8 oz 10 15 30 55 8 oz 40 10 10 60 4 oz 30 30 5 65 4 oz 40 20 10 70 8 oz 20 30 20 70 4 oz 50 10 25 85 4 oz 60 5 20 85 4 oz 70 5 25 100 8 oz 15 60 30 105 8 oz 50 10 50 110

Ireatment	Rate/ 1000	ft ²		Pero	ent inf	ecte	dare	2a_				
L. VA		I	II	111	Avera	ige ¹				1000		
Tersan 1991	8 oz	2	2	10	4.7	a						
Scotts F 6970	1x	10	5	5	6.7	a	Ь					
Tersan 1991 & Hydro-Wet	8 oz:	15	2-	20	12.3	a	ь	С				
Tersan 1991 & Aqua-Gro	- 8 oz	20	15	2	12.3	a	Ь	С				
Vydate	4.5 lb	2	30	20	17.3	a	b	C	d			
Dasanit	3 1b	20	5	40	21.7	a	b	С	d	е		
Tersan 1991 & Aqua-Gro	4 oz	20	4	50	24.7	a	Ь	c	d	е	f	
Tersan 1991 & Hydro-Wet	4 oz	20	50	10	26.7	a	ь	C	d	е	f	T
Nemacur	3 1b	40	30	30	33.3	d	b	c	d	е	f	T
Mocap 2	3 1b	10	60	30	33.3	a	b '	C	d	е	f	
Cleary's 3224	8 oz	20	60	30	36.7	a	b	C	d	е	f	1
Hydro Wet	8 oz	15	40	60	38.3	a	Ь	c	d ;	е	f	T
R.P. 26019	4 oz	70	20	30	40.0	a	b	c	d	е	f	T
R.P. 26019	8 oz	70	10	40	40.0	a	ь	c	d	е	f	
Tersan 1991	4 oz	25	160	40	41.7	a	b	C	d	е	f	T
Aqua-Gro	8 oz	25	40	60	41.7	a	b	С	d	е	f	T
Ciba Geigy GA-4-737	3.5 lb	40	40	50	43.3		Ь	c	d	е	f	T
Form-A-Turf	4 oz	70	50	20	46.7			C	d	е	f	T
CHECK		60	30	70	53.3				d	е	f	T
Form-A-Turf	8 oz	60	70	40	56.7				d	е	f	1
EL 222 ²	8 oz	80	30	60	56.7					е	f	+
Ciba-Geigy GA-4-737	7 1bs	60	80	40	60						f	T
EL 222 ²	4 oz	90	70	70	76.7			1				+

Treatments follow by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level
 Phytotoxicity